CS165 – Computer Security

Dynamic (Fuzz) Testing November 4, 2024

1

Our Goal

- We want to develop techniques to detect vulnerabilities automatically before they are exploited
 - What's a vulnerability?
 - How to find them?



Vulnerability

How do you define computer 'vulnerability'?
 Flaw

Accessible to an adversary

Adversary has ability to exploit



Problem

- How do we know if your program has a flaw?
 - May be likely, but not guaranteed
- More importantly, how do we locate a flaw?
 - To assess whether it is vulnerable
 - Or better yet, to fix the flaw

Example

□ Can you find the flaw(s)?

```
int
1
    im_vips2dz( IMAGE *in, const char *filename ) {
2
      char *p, *q;
3
      char name[FILENAME_MAX];
4
      char mode[FILENAME_MAX];
5
      char buf[FILENAME_MAX];
6
7
       . . .
8
       im_strncpy( name, filename, FILENAME_MAX );
9
      if( (p = strchr( name, ':' )) ) {
10
         *p = ' \setminus 0';
11
         im_strncpy( mode, p + 1, FILENAME_MAX );
12
13
       }
14
      strcpy( buf, mode );
15
      p = \&buf[0];
16
17
      . . .
18
    }
```

Flaw Evidence

What indicates that your program has a flaw?

Flaw Evidence

- What indicates that your program has a flaw?
- □ A crash (i.e., memory error)
 - Means that an instruction accessed an illegal memory location
 - First example read/write beyond bounds
- □ A hang (i.e., infinite loop)
 - Some loop condition check has an error
 - Second example Not check for EOF

Find Flaws

- How can we find flaws?
 - Run the program
 - When it hangs/crashes, we have found a flaw
- Challenge
 - Flaw may only be triggered by particular inputs
 - The task of producing inputs to test your program for flaws is called dynamic analysis

Dynamic Analysis Options

Regression Testing

- Run program on many normal inputs and look for bad behavior in the responses
 - Typically looking for behavior that differs from expected e.g., a previous version of the program
- Fuzz Testing
 - Run program on many abnormal inputs and look for bad behavior in the responses
 - Looking for behaviors that may cause the program to stop executing at all – crash or hang

Fuzz Testing

Fuzz Testing

Idea proposed by Bart Miller at Wisconsin in 1988

- Problem: People assumed that utility programs could correctly process any input values
 - But, untrusted programs could run them
 - Supply any inputs they wanted (command line)
- Found that they could crash 25-33% of UNIX utility programs

Fuzz Testing

- Fuzz Testing
 - Idea proposed by Bart Miller at Wisconsin in 1988
- Approach
 - Generate random inputs
 - Run lots of programs using random inputs
 - Identify crashes of these programs
 - Correlate with the random inputs that caused the crashes
- Problems: Crashes and hangs

Example Found

Fuzz Testing

Produce random inputs for processing

```
format.c (line 276):
...
while (lastc != '\n') {
rdc();
}
...
input.c (line 27):
rdc()
{ do { readchar(); } // assigns `lastc' to 0
while (lastc == ' ' || lastc == '\t'); return (lastc);
}
```

Eventually produce line with EOF in the middle

Fuzz Testing

- Idea: Search for flaws in a program by running the program under a variety of inputs
- Challenge: Selecting input values for the program
 What should be the goals in choosing input values for
 - fuzz testing?

Challenges

- Idea: Search for flaws in a program by running the program under a variety of inputs
- Challenge: Selecting input values for the program
 - What should be the goals in choosing input values for fuzz testing?
 - **•** Find as many exploitable flaws as possible

Implies

- Maximize code coverage (branches)
- Generate inputs that cause crash/hang

Black Box Fuzzing

- Like Miller Feed the program random inputs and see if it crashes
- Pros: Easy to configure
- Cons: May not search efficiently
 - May re-run the same path over again (low coverage)
 - May be very hard to generate inputs for certain paths (checksums, hashes, restrictive conditions)
 - May cause the program to terminate for logical reasons fail format checks and stop

Black Box Fuzzing

May be difficult to pass "authenticate_user" with random inputs

```
function( char *name, char *passwd, char *buf )
{
    if ( authenticate_user( name, passwd )) {
        if ( check_format( buf )) {
            update( buf );
        }
}
```

Mutation-Based Fuzzing

Supply a well-formed input

- Generate random changes to that input
- No assumptions about modified input
 - Only assumes that variants of the well-formed input will be effective in fuzzing

Example: zzuf

- https://fuzzing-project.org/tutoriall.html
- Reading: The Beginners' Guide to Fuzzing

Mutation-Based Fuzzing

Example: zzuf

- https://fuzzing-project.org/tutoriall.html
- The Beginners' Guide to Fuzzing
 - zzuf -s 0:1000000 -c -C 0 -q -T 3 objdump -x win9x.exe
 - Fuzzes the program objdump using the sample input executable win9x.exe
 - Try IM seed values (-s) from command line (-c) and keep running if crashed (-C 0) with timeout (-T 3)

Mutation-Based Fuzzing

- Easy to setup, and not dependent on program details
- But may be strongly biased by the initial input
- Still prone to some problems
 - May re-run the same path over again (same test)
 - May be very hard to generate inputs for certain paths (checksums, hashes, restrictive conditions)
 - May not generate a legal value for executable (e.g., not constrained to legal instruction)

- Rather than treating the program as a black box, instrument the program to track the paths run
- Save inputs that lead to new paths
 - Associated with the paths they exercise
 - To bias toward running new paths
- Example
 - American Fuzzy Lop (AFL)
- "State of the practice" at this time

Logical operation – instrument conditionals to record inputs that caused particular branches to run



Logical operation – instrument conditionals to record inputs that caused particular branches to run



Logical operation – instrument conditionals to record inputs that caused particular branches to run



Track the branch coverage and generate inputs to explore new branches

AFL

Provides compiler wrappers for gcc to instrument target program to collect fuzzing stats



AFL

Provides compiler wrappers for gcc to instrument target program to collect fuzzing stats

See

http://lcamtuf.coredump.cx/afl/

AFL Build

- Provides compiler wrappers for gcc to instrument target program to collect fuzzing stats
- Replace the gcc compiler in your build process with afl-gcc
- □ For example, in the Makefile
 - CC=path-to/afl-gcc
- Then build your target program with afl-gcc
 - Generates a binary instrumented for AFL fuzzing

AFL Use

Provides compiler wrappers for gcc to instrument target program to collect fuzzing stats

Run the fuzzer using afl-fuzz

path-to/afl-fuzz -i <input-dir> -o <output-dir> <path-to-bin> [args]

For example

path-to/afl-fuzz -i input/ -o output/ ./cmpsc447-p3 set user passwd @@

Where

- input/ directory with the input file
- output/ is the directory where the AFL results will be placed



- Provides compiler wrappers for gcc to instrument target program to collect fuzzing stats
- Run the fuzzer using afl-fuzz

path-to/afl-fuzz -i <input-dir> -o <output-dir> <path-to-bin> [args]

For example

path-to/afl-fuzz -i input/ -o output/ ./cmpsc497-p1 set user passwd @@

Where

- Image: Book of the last arg (input file) will be fuzzed
- Can also fuzz "user" and "passwd"

AFL Display

Tracks the execution of the fuzzer



- Key information are
 - "total paths" number of different execution paths tried
 - "unique crashes" number of unique crash locations

AFL Output

- Shows the results of the fuzzer
 - E.g., provides inputs that will cause the crash
- □ File "fuzzer_stats" provides summary of stats UI
- □ File "plot_data" shows the progress of fuzzer
- Directory "queue" shows inputs that led to paths
- Directory "crashes" contains input that caused crash
- Directory "hangs" contains input that caused hang

AFL Operation

How does AFL work?

http://lcamtuf.coredump.cx/afl/technical_details.txt

- The instrumentation captures branch (edge) coverage, along with coarse branch-taken hit counts.
 - shared_mem[cur_location ^ prev_location]++;
- Record branches taken (previous branch to current branch) with low collision rate
- Enables distinguishing unique paths

AFL Operation

How does AFL work?

http://lcamtuf.coredump.cx/afl/technical_details.txt

"When a mutated input produces an execution trace containing new tuples, the corresponding input file is preserved and routed for additional processing"

Otherwise, input is discarded

"Mutated test cases that produced new state transitions [as above] are added to the input queue and used as a starting point for future rounds of fuzzing"

AFL Operation

How does AFL work?

http://lcamtuf.coredump.cx/afl/technical_details.txt

Fuzzing strategies

- Highly deterministic at first bit flips, add/sub integer values, and choose interesting integer values
- Then, non-deterministic choices insertions, deletions, and combinations of test cases

Finds flaws, but still does not understand the program

- Pros: Much better than black box testing
 - Essentially no configuration
 - Lots of crashes have been identified
- Cons: Still a bit of a stab in the dark
 - May not be able to execute some paths
 - Searches for inputs independently from the program
- Need to improve the effectiveness further

Conclusions

- It is important to detect vulnerabilities in your programs before adversaries find them
- Dynamic testing has long been a way to find problems in your programs
 - But, we need a more comprehensive form of testing to detect vulnerabilities to memory errors
- Fuzz testing is designed to find memory errors in your programs
 - Generate inputs that: (1) run as much of the program as possible and (2) try values that may cause crash/hang

Questions

